

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma^{1,2}, R. Liu^{1,2}, L.-S. Tang^{1,2}, Z.-D. Lan^{1,2}, and Y. Li^{1,2}

¹State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China

²Fukang Station of Desert Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fukang, Xinjiang, China

Received: 5 June 2014 – Accepted: 15 June 2014 – Published: 4 July 2014

Correspondence to: Y. Li (liyan@ms.xjb.ac.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Recent studies have suggested that deserts, which are a long-neglected region in global carbon budgeting, have strong downward CO₂ fluxes and might be a significant carbon sink. This finding, however, has been strongly challenged because neither the reliability of the flux measurements nor the exact location of the fixed carbon has been determined. This paper shows, with a full chain of evidence, that there is indeed strong carbon flux into saline/alkaline land in arid regions. Based on continuous measurement of CO₂ exchange from 2002 to 2012 (except for 2003), the saline desert in western China was a carbon sink for 9 out of the 10 years, and average yearly net ecosystem exchange of carbon (NEE) for the 10 years was $-25.00 \pm 12.70 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Supporting evidence for the validity of these NEE estimates comes from the close agreement of NEE values obtained from the chamber and eddy-covariance methods. After ruling out the possibility of changes in C stored in plant biomass or soils, the C uptake was found to be leached downwards into the groundwater body in the process of groundwater fluctuation: rising groundwater absorbs soil dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and falling groundwater transports the DIC downward. Horizontal groundwater flow may send this DIC farther away and prevent it from being observed locally. This process has been called “passive leaching” of DIC, in comparison with the active DIC leaching that occurs during groundwater recharge. This passive leaching significantly expands the area where DIC leaching occurs and creates a literally “hidden” carbon sink process under the desert. This study tells us that when a downward CO₂ flux is observed, but seems to have nowhere to go, it should not be concluded that the flux measurement is unreliable. By looking deeper and farther away, a place and a process may be found that are “hidden” underground.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



1 Introduction

Consistent enrichment of atmospheric CO₂ concentration from preindustrial times due to human activities (Stocker et al., 2013), together with projections for global climate change, have focused much scientific and public attention on carbon cycling (Siegenthaler and Sarmiento, 1993; Chapin et al., 2006; Houghton, 2007). One of the most important issues is the imbalance between CO₂ released from anthropogenic activities and documented terrestrial or oceanic sinks, which is known as the “missing sink” or the “residual terrestrial sink” in the global carbon budget (Houghton, 2007, 2013; Friedlingstein et al., 2010). Substantial studies have been carried out to locate this residual sink, but the uncertainties remain large (Schimel et al., 2001; Houghton, 2007), especially in territorial ecosystems where the carbon flux and the source–sink processes vary greatly in magnitude and mechanism from region to region, such as from rainforest to desert (Schimel et al., 2001; Heimann and Reichstein, 2008).

With its characteristics of low productivity, low carbon exchange, and limited available data (Hastings et al., 2005), deserts remain one of the most under-represented ecosystems in carbon cycle syntheses (Raich and Potter, 1995; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008; Cable et al., 2011), in spite of their huge area that accounts for one-quarter of the Earth’s land surface (Reynolds, 2001). However, in recent years, a few studies have proposed that desert regions, which have long been considered negligible in global carbon budgeting, have strong downward fluxes into the ground and therefore might be a significant carbon sink (Jasoni et al., 2005; Stone, 2008; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2008). The net carbon uptake in the Mojave Desert of the United States, based on flux-tower measurements, was reported to be around 100 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for a three-year period (Jasoni et al., 2005; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008). Similar results were reported in the Gurbantonggut Desert in northwestern China based on closed-chamber measurements (Stone, 2008; Xie et al., 2008). These findings have understandably attracted scientific and public attention (Stone, 2008; Schlesinger et al., 2009; Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010), but remain controversial (Schlesinger et al., 2009), in part because

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



there is no agreement about the exact location of the fixed carbon (Schlesinger et al., 2009). Some researchers have argued that assimilation by cryptobiotic crust organisms (such as lichens, mosses, and cyanobacteria) or crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants may account for a significant portion of C uptake (Hastings et al., 2005; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008), while others have argued that the fixed carbon is associated with precipitation or dissolution of carbonate (Xie et al., 2008; Shanhun et al., 2012). However, these interpretations have faced great challenges: cryptobiotic crust organisms and CAM plants are neither sufficiently active nor extensive to explain such an unusually high rate of uptake (Schlesinger et al., 2009; Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010), not to mention that the negative flux (CO₂ down into the soil) occurred at night. Formation and accumulation of CaCO₃ is constrained by the sources of calcium derived from atmospheric deposition and rock weathering (Schlesinger, 1985; Monger and Gallegos, 2000). Hence, it is understandable that the authors have been asked to reconfirm these flux measurements and present a logical answer to the question of where the carbon goes (Schlesinger et al., 2009).

Recent publications have highlighted the need to include other processes than photosynthesis and respiration, such as losses of carbon as volatile compounds or dissolved carbon (Chapin et al., 2006; Heimann and Reichstein, 2008), in carbon budgeting. Leaching of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) through the hydrological cycle is an important component of regional or global carbon budgets (Kindler et al., 2011; Tobias and Bohlke, 2011). However, in (semi-)arid regions, evaporative demand significantly exceeds rainfall on average (Noy-Meir, 1973), and surface runoff is constrained or limited, hampering direct groundwater recharge (de Vries and Simmers, 2002) and ultimately resulting in a lack of conventional leaching. Instead, groundwater level fluctuations occur over various timescales (e.g., from daily to seasonal to decadal; Healy and Cook, 2002; Amiaz et al., 2011), especially for desert-oasis ecotones, which are usually located at the foot of mountains or along rivers. Here it is hypothesized that rises and falls in the groundwater table may carry DIC downward into the groundwater body, forming a carbon sink process that is literally hidden under the desert. If proven

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



with data recorded at a frequency of 10 Hz. Two soil heat-flux plates (HFP01SC, Hukseflux, the Netherlands) were placed at 2 cm below the soil surface, and additional meteorological instruments were installed to measure soil and air temperature, humidity, photosynthetically active photon flux density (PPFD), and incoming and outgoing total radiation (CM21F, Kipp & Zonen, the Netherlands). The flux-measurement system and calculation methods are described in more detail in Liu et al. (2012). Following the sign convention in flux research, positive values represent net carbon gain by the atmosphere and loss from the ecosystem; conversely, negative values mean loss of CO₂ from the atmosphere and gain by the ecosystem. This convention is equally applicable to research on soil respiration.

Half-hourly flux values were excluded from further analysis if the data were anomalous or showed spurious spikes (Liu et al., 2012), which might have been derived from sensor maintenance, rain or snow events, power failure, or instrument malfunction. Details of the procedure are as follows: first, reject values collected during rain and snow events, belonging to incomplete 30 min data sets, or taken at times of instrument malfunction; second, remove the net ecosystem exchange of carbon (NEE) data where the H₂O concentrations differed by more than 30 % from those estimated from relative humidity data (Rogiers et al., 2005); third, filter the steady-state data, particularly when the friction velocity (u^*) is lower than 0.1 m s⁻¹ (Xu and Baldocchi, 2004; Reichstein et al., 2005), using a threshold to determine whether the atmosphere provided sufficient turbulent mixing (Aubinet et al., 2001; Baldocchi, 2003). Over the 2002–2012 period (except for 2003), roughly 30–40 % of the data were discarded according to these exclusion principles and therefore required gap filling. For short time periods (e.g., 1 h or less), missing fluxes were estimated by linear interpolation; for large gaps (e.g., days) during the daytime, the relationship between PPFD and good CO₂ flux was used to fill the gaps using a Michaelis–Menten rectangular hyperbolic equation (Ruimy et al., 1995; Falge et al., 2001); gap filling during night and winter, when the main CO₂ flux is respiration only, was accomplished using exponential relationships between respiration and soil temperature at 5 cm depth (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994).

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



An uncertainty analysis, accounting for both random and systematic errors arising from random sampling error, the gap filling procedure (Liu et al., 2012), and u^* -filtering, was conducted to obtain confidence intervals for the annual carbon budgets. For random sampling error, the worst-case estimation method proposed by Morgenstern et al. (2004, Eq. 11) was used. As for the uncertainties in the gap filling procedure, which resulted in a complete NEE time series, these were estimated by creating artificial gaps in the gap filling series with added noise (Giasson et al., 2006); more details can be found in Liu et al. (2012). Systematic error associated with the choice of the u^* threshold was estimated by comparison of the annual NEE calculated using the standard method ($u^* = 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) with that derived using a different u^* threshold (Morgenstern et al., 2004). For different u^* thresholds during the night, the relationship between soil temperature at the 5 cm depth and $\text{NEE}_{\text{nighttime}}$ was determined, and all nighttime data below the chosen u^* threshold were modeled accordingly, providing an annual cumulative estimate of NEE. The errors were as shown in Table 1.

The growing period (gp) was defined as extending from the day assimilation (photosynthesis) first occurred to the day when ecosystem carbon assimilation stopped, from about DOY (day of year) 121 to DOY 290. Correspondingly, the non-growing period (ngp) contained the remaining days of the year.

2.3 Calculating gross primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (R_{eco})

Ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}) at the study site as well as the GPP of the ecosystem were obtained through an algorithm (Reichstein et al., 2005) that first establishes a relationship between soil temperature and R_{eco} from nighttime data (e.g., the Lloyd–Taylor function; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994) and then uses this relationship to extrapolate R_{eco} from nighttime to daytime. GPP was then obtained by subtracting NEE from R_{eco} :

$$\text{GPP} = \text{NEE} - R_{\text{eco}}$$

2.4 Chamber measurements of R_{soil}

Soil respiration (R_{soil}) was measured independently in 2009 (from DOY 125 to DOY 283) by an LI-8150 system (Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) with six long-term monitoring chambers. To address the question of whether chamber measurements adequately represent soil respiration for comparison with eddy-covariance measurements of total ecosystem respiration at a large scale, soil collars were distributed along a transect from a site near a plant stem to the interplant space to capture individual-based variation. Measurements were made on each of the chambers every half-hour; details of the system design are given by Ma et al. (2012).

2.5 Calculating the $R_{\text{soil}}/R_{\text{eco}}$ ratio

For each effective measurement of CO_2 flux data, the average $R_{\text{soil}}/R_{\text{eco}}$ ratio was calculated. Half-hourly nighttime (PPFD $< 5 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) eddy-covariance estimates of the NEE were selected, binned by day, and averaged. These estimates of mean daily nighttime R_{eco} were paired with the corresponding soil respiration to calculate a ratio.

2.6 Investigation of biomass and soil carbon storage

A detailed survey of plants and soils at the study site, including living biomass and organic and inorganic carbon storage in the soil, was carried out in 1989 (the year that the Fukang Station of Desert Ecology was established) and 2009, respectively. Details of soil-sampling methods and quadrat investigations of plant biomass were described in Li et al. (2010). Soil total carbon and inorganic carbon were measured using a total organic carbon/total nitrogen analyzer (Multi C/N 3100, Analytik Jena, Germany), and the difference between the two values was taken to represent the organic carbon content.

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO_2 flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

in total were designed and connected to a 10 L air bag (Fig. 1) with silicon tubes. The air vents were located at 30 cm intervals from 30 to 180 cm. To monitor water level in the soil columns, a water-level mark was included at the midpoint of the cylinder (at a position of 140 cm above the bottom). In addition, five observation holes (diameter 8 cm) were drilled at heights of 100, 140, 170, 200, and 240 cm above the bottom and sealed by transparent resin plates, which enabled observation of color changes in the soil profile.

Once the soil columns were prepared, they were fixed to a metal shelf, which was a convenient method to collect the leachate from the bottom and to simulate groundwater-table fluctuations by manually moving the water tank up and down using a pulley fixed to the shelf. To eliminate the influence of dissolved carbon in the irrigation water on the carbon isotopic character of the leachate, air-free shallow groundwater (pH 8.16, EC = 3.7 dS m⁻¹) was obtained from a 20 m deep well adjacent to the saline desert (groundwater level was about 4.5 m). The dissolved air in the water was removed by a vacuum degasser.

To simplify the isotope-marking process, ¹³CO₂ (0.3 L, 99.3 % purity) was dissolved in air-free water (2 L) at room temperature in advance and then slowly poured into the soil column using the top tube. In this way, dissolved ¹³CO₂, representing CO₂ derived from the atmosphere or from soil respiration, was added to the soil columns, but not so much that it reached the bottom of the soil column. After this, the top tube was blocked with a small clip. The washing solution (air-free water) was applied to the bottom of the soil column by lifting up a water drum connected to the bottom tube until the water level in the soil columns reached 2.2 m, at which point the labeled CO₂ (dissolved ¹³CO₂) could reach with infiltrated water. Then the bottom tube was switched to connect to a 10 L vacuum airbag, and the leachate was collected by means of gravity over eight consecutive hours. The volume of leachate was recorded, and the δ¹³C of the leachate was measured by an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) (Finnigan MAT 253, Thermo Finnigan, Bremen, Germany). This washing procedure was repeated

until the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the leachate solution returned to its initial level (15 times overall). Leaching experiments were replicated with three columns.

2.9 Energy balance

To assess the reliability of the eddy-covariance measurements, an energy-balance analysis was carried out. Thirty-minute values of sensible heat flux (H) plus latent heat flux (LE) were compared with net radiation (R_n) minus soil heat flux (G), with results listed in Table 1. These data showed an incomplete energy-balance closure: the slopes of the fitted lines between $H + \text{LE}$ and $R_n - G$ were all less than 1, which means that the eddy-covariance measurements underestimated $H + \text{LE}$. This energy-closure deficit might be explained by measurement error related to sensor separation, sampling frequency response, or interference from tower or instrument-mounting structures (Twine et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2012). In addition, energy stored under the plant canopy and in the layer of soil between the surface and the soil heat flux plates was not considered (Twine et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2002).

3 Results

3.1 Net ecosystem exchange of carbon in the saline desert

Figure 2 shows obvious annual variations in cumulative NEE ($P < 0.01$), which ranged from -91 to $14 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For 9 out of the 10 years, NEE was negative (or downward into the desert) (Fig. 2a), and the average yearly NEE for the 10 years was $-25.00 \pm 12.70 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. By partitioning NEE into GPP and R_{eco} (Fig. 2b), it was found that $151.65 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ was assimilated by green plants and $126.65 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ was released in the form of ecosystem respiration, including autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration. In other words, the saline desert is a significant net carbon sink which sequestered as much as 16.7% of GPP.

Further analysis revealed that there was no significant relationship between annual NEE and precipitation ($P > 0.05$, Fig. 2d). The average precipitation for the 10 years was 153.5 mm, which is very close to the long-term average of 164 mm (Fig. 2d), indicating that this sink was not a result of unusually heavy precipitation but rather a long-term average.

To gain more insight into the process of carbon sequestration, the cumulative NEE for the growing and non-growing periods (Fig. 2c and e) were analyzed separately. The results showed that the accumulated NEE demonstrated significant annual variation for both periods, but that these variations were not synchronized with each other. For example, the accumulated NEE for the growing period varied over 13-fold over the 10 years, ranging from a high of $-8.13 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2005 to a low of $-106.51 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2002, and had a significant positive relationship with total cumulated NEE (Pearson's correlation coefficient $r = 0.99$, $P < 0.001$). However, for the non-growing period, the cumulated NEE (ecosystem carbon release), with a maximum of $25.10 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2009 and a minimum of $13.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2012, had no discernible correlation with total cumulated NEE ($P > 0.05$). More importantly, in terms of values for the two periods, the absolute values of cumulative NEE during the growing period were always greater than the values during the non-growing period except in 2005, and the 10-year averages for the growing and non-growing periods were -43.50 and $18.50 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively. In other words, the carbon sequestered during the growing period was not completely released during the non-growing period, which strongly suggested a carbon sink.

3.2 Cross-check of the CO₂ flux

To cross-check the reliability of the observed downward CO₂ flux from eddy-covariance measurements, soil respiration (R_{soil}), and net primary canopy productivity ($\text{NPP}_{\text{canopy}}$) were measured using the closed-chamber method. Because NEE sums up contributions from soil and plants, $\text{NEE} = R_{\text{soil}} + \text{NPP}_{\text{canopy}}$. Chamber measurements conducted in 2009 for six days distributed throughout the growing season (Supplement Fig. S1).

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Figure 3 illustrates that the tower-based measurements (NEE) agreed very well with the chamber-based CO₂ fluxes ($R_{\text{soil}} + \text{NPP}_{\text{canopy}}$) for the daylight hours during the six days (Fig. 3). In other words, the reliability of daytime NEE values obtained from eddy-covariance measurements was verified by independent chamber-based measurements on soil and plants.

During nighttime, CO₂ exchange becomes simple: respiration at the ecosystem level should equal soil respiration plus plant-canopy respiration. As the chamber used to measure CO₂ flux from the plant canopy was not automated, nighttime respiration was even more difficult to quantify. Therefore, only limited amounts of eddy-covariance and soil respiration data are available for the nighttime. The R_{soil} to R_{eco} ratio was calculated, which could provide useful information for partitioning relative contributions to R_{eco} . Figure 4 shows that the ratio of R_{soil} to R_{eco} was very small and even negative during most of the year. The maximum monthly average ratio was only 0.11 (± 0.03). The direct reason for this was that soil respiration was so low that atmospheric CO₂ was sucked into the soil (Fig. 4a), which contradicts common sense (see discussion for details). However, these observations further confirmed that atmospheric CO₂ was passing through the soil–atmosphere interface into the soil.

3.3 Changes in biomass and soil carbon storage

The eddy-covariance data provided strong evidence for net CO₂ uptake at the study site. But where did the CO₂ uptake go? Fortunately, when Fukang Station (see online Materials and Methods) was established in 1989, a detailed survey of plants and soils was conducted at the site, including biomass and organic and inorganic carbon in the soil (Table 2). If the downward CO₂ flux detected in the eddy-covariance measurements was stored in plants or soil, it should be observable now after 20 years of accumulation. Over the 20 years, woody biomass (not including shrub foliage, annuals, or ephemeral plants) changed from 0.78 ± 0.06 to $0.74 \pm 0.05 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$. Organic carbon storage in the 0–3 m soil layer was $8.1 \pm 0.64 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ in 1989 and $8.4 \pm 0.67 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ in 2009. Inorganic carbon storage at the same depth was $32.7 \pm 2.1 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ in 1989 and $33.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



in 2009. In other words, in the past 20 years, carbon storage in plants and soil at this site has not undergone significant change (independent-samples t test, $n = 8$, $\alpha = 0.05$ for all pairs). This test confirmed that it is unlikely that net CO₂ uptake at this desert site can be explained by changes in C stored in plant biomass or shallow soils.

3.4 Downward carbon leaching with groundwater fluctuations

Since the downward CO₂ flux had not been stored in plants or soil, the next possibility was the groundwater body, because saline/alkaline soils are by their nature connected to groundwater (Rengasamy, 2006). The first piece of evidence was the vertical distribution of soil EC (Fig. 5). Generally, soil EC decreases with increasing soil depth, which means that passive leaching of salt is possible with fluctuations in the groundwater table. Restricted by the limited rainfall at the study site (annual average precipitation ~ 164 mm), salt could be washed down to a limited depth and thereby create the “S” shape of the EC (Fig. 5), but not to the depth of groundwater. The maximum value of EC was $41.05 \pm 1.52 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ at a depth of 1 m. High values reached as far as 2 m depth, probably from very rare events of heavy rainfall or snowmelt in this cold desert. In contrast, the groundwater table fluctuated strongly (Fig. 5). The groundwater under saline/alkaline soils in arid zones, which is mostly saline/alkaline water as well, has high solubility to CO₂ (Lindsay, 1979). Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that the CO₂ respired can thus be absorbed by the saline/alkaline soil solution (Lindsay, 1979; see discussion for details) and be washed downward into the groundwater body by rises and falls in the groundwater table.

To test this hypothesis, a laboratory leaching experiment was carried out to simulate groundwater fluctuation. The isotopic characteristics and the amount of leachate removed with frequent leaching are illustrated in Fig. 6. In total, the leaching operation was conducted 15 times. The amount of leaching solution, 4653.5 g on average, showed no significant difference among leaching operations ($P < 0.01$). However, the isotopic characteristics of the leachate, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, showed strong enrichment following single-time labeling, moving from its initial value of $-7.33 \pm 2.50 \text{ ‰}$ to a peak value of

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



around 300‰ at the sixth washing operation. After that, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of leachate diminished exponentially and returned to approximately background values. These data unambiguously demonstrate that, as expected, surface dissolved carbon (labeled $^{13}\text{CO}_2$) can be leached out by fluctuations in groundwater.

4 Discussion

Long-term trends in CO_2 exchange between the terrestrial ecosystem and the atmosphere have been well documented using the eddy-covariance method in different climatic zones and ecosystem types (Falge et al., 2002a; Law et al., 2002; Baldocchi et al., 2005), including at the present study site (Liu et al., 2012). With a 10-year average NEE of $-25.00 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, the saline desert was verified to be a net carbon sink, which was in agreement with the studies of Jasoni et al. (2005) and Wohlfahrt et al. (2008), exceeding the $100 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ uptake by the Mojave Desert.

A comprehensive uncertainty analysis accounting for both random and systematic errors showed that the average confidence interval for annual NEE was $\pm 12.70 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Table 1). This value was less than the 10-year average, indicating that this site was indeed a sink of C. In addition, comparison between NEE estimates from eddy-covariance and scaling-chamber measurements yielded close agreement of NEE values between the two methods (Fig. 3). Therefore, the net uptake derived from eddy-covariance measurements can be considered reliable, even though the possibilities of biological assimilation by soil crusts or CAM plants (Hastings et al., 2005) and changes in either soil or living biomass carbon storage (Table 2; Stone, 2008) were insufficient to explain such an uptake (Hastings et al., 2005; Stone, 2008; Schlesinger et al., 2009).

The magnitude of the NEE estimates for this desert ecosystem is comparable to net ecosystem production for many grassland and forest ecosystems (Falge et al., 2002b; Bergeron et al., 2007; Luyssaert et al., 2007), which have much higher GPP than desert. Because NEE is the residual of GPP and R_{eco} , this suggested that R_{eco} ,

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

**A downward CO_2 flux
seems to have
nowhere to go**

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



especially soil respiration, was very low in desert ecosystems (Wohlfahrt et al., 2008). This inference is supported by results of the very tiny or even negative contribution of soil respiration to R_{eco} (Fig. 4, maximum value of 0.11 ± 0.03). Although the magnitude of negative values of $R_{\text{soil}}/R_{\text{eco}}$ was meaningless, it did, however, confirm findings of negative soil CO_2 flux based solely on soil-chamber measurements in some extreme environments (Ma et al., 2012, 2013; Shanhun et al., 2012). This observation contradicts common sense – soil respiration by definition should not be negative – and similar studies where soil respiration was commonly proposed to be the most important portion of ecosystem respiration, accounting for 50–100 % of total respiration (Lavigne et al., 1997; Bolstad et al., 2004; Curtis et al., 2005; Davidson et al., 2006).

Therefore, these results provide a basis for a plausible explanation: the soil did not respire as much as it should have (Figs. 2 and 4). Although most of the CO_2 produced in soil escaped to the atmosphere as gas efflux, a fraction of CO_2 would dissolve in the soil solution and form a significant DIC reservoir, which was then leached downwards into groundwater (Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010; Shanhun et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013). It is proposed here that leaching of DIC is responsible for carbon uptake in deserts (Fig. 1; Chapin et al., 2006).

Substantial evidence has suggested that the amount of DIC leaching may be large (Ciais et al., 2008; Kindler et al., 2011). In European grasslands, which are much less saline/alkaline than desert regions, the DIC leaching rate was $24 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and accounted for 22 % of NEE (Kindler et al., 2011). A recent study of soil dissolved inorganic carbon (SDIC) at the present study site showed that SDIC storage was approximately 24.2 kg m^{-2} at 6 m depth, most of which was in leachable form (Wang et al., 2013).

Leaching DIC into groundwater requires fluid input, which consists mainly of precipitation and surface-water (e.g., runoff) infiltration. However, at the present study site, rainfall alone may be insufficient to achieve this transport process (Amiaz et al., 2011). In addition, runoff from mountains was diverted to nearby agricultural oases decades ago. Therefore, due to these limitations, localized uptake of CO_2 was stored in the soil in the form of DIC (Gamnitzer et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013). When fluctuations in the

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO_2 flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



depth of the water table occur (Amiaz et al., 2011), a leaching process takes place: rising groundwater absorbs soil DIC, and falling groundwater transports the DIC downward (Fig. 6). These water-table fluctuations have been referred to as “passive leaching” of DIC, in comparison with the active DIC leaching that occurs during groundwater recharge. This passive leaching significantly expands the area where DIC leaching occurs and creates a “hidden carbon sink”.

The leaching process in alkaline deserts leads to a third carbon pool on land, which differs completely from the carbon pools in living biomass and soils (Houghton, 2007). One important reason is that the mean residence time for carbon in groundwater may be much longer than the residence time in soils (Kessler and Harvey, 2001), although the leaching flux into groundwater is small compared to the rapid diffusion of CO₂ out of soils.

In conclusion, this case study tells us that when a downward CO₂ flux is observed, but seems to have nowhere to go, it cannot be concluded that the flux measurement is unreliable. By looking deeper and farther away, a territorial inorganic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ – the groundwater body under the saline arid land – was identified as this long-neglected region in global carbon budgeting. When a downward CO₂ flux has been confirmed, but seems to have nowhere to go, an unrecognized process may be hidden below.

Author contributions

All authors commented on manuscript at all stages. Y. L. developed the concept of the paper and oversaw the study; J. M. did in situ measurements of soil CO₂ flux; R. L. analyzed the eddy-covariance data; Z.-D. L. performed the soil column leaching experiment; J. M. and Y. L. wrote the paper; L.-S. T. contributed significantly to the structuring and presentation of the paper.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at
doi:10.5194/bgd-11-10419-2014-supplement.

Acknowledgements. Financial supports were provided by the “Strategic Priority Research Program” of China (no. XDA05030500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 41301279, 41201041), and the “Western Light” program of the Chinese Academy of Science (no. XBBS201204). We thank all the staff of the Fukang Station of Desert Ecology for their excellent field and laboratory assistance.

References

- Amiaz, Y., Sorek, S., Enzel, Y., and Dahan, O.: Solute transport in the vadose zone and groundwater during flash floods, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W10513, doi:10.1029/2011wr010747, 2011.
- Aubinet, M., Chermanne, B., Vandenhaute, M., Longdoz, B., Yernaux, M., and Laitat, E.: Long term carbon dioxide exchange above a mixed forest in the Belgian Ardennes, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 108, 293–315, 2001.
- Baldocchi, D. D.: Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past, present and future, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 479–492, 2003.
- Baldocchi, D. D., Black, T. A., Curtis, P. S., Falge, E., Fuentes, J. D., Granier, A., Gu, L., Knohl, A., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H. P., Valentini, R., Wilson, K., Wofsy, S., Xu, L., and Yamamoto, S.: Predicting the onset of net carbon uptake by deciduous forests with soil temperature and climate data: a synthesis of FLUXNET data, *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 49, 377–387, 2005.
- Bergeron, O., Margolis, H. A., Black, T. A., Coursolle, C., Dunn, A. L., Barr, A. G., and Wofsy, S. C.: Comparison of carbon dioxide fluxes over three boreal black spruce forests in Canada, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13, 89–107, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01281.x, 2007.
- Bolstad, P. V., Davis, K. J., Martin, J., Cook, B. D., and Wang, W.: Component and whole-system respiration fluxes in northern deciduous forests, *Tree Physiol.*, 24, 493–504, 2004.
- Cable, J. M., Ogle, K., Lucas, R. W., Huxman, T. E., Loik, M. E., Smith, S. D., Tissue, D. T., eWERS, B. E., Pendall, E., Welker, J. M., Charlet, T. N., Cleary, M., Griffith, A., Nowak, P. S.,

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Rogers, M., Steltzer, H., Sullivan, P. F., and Van Gestel, N. C.: The temperature response of soil respiration in deserts: a seven desert synthesis, *Biogeochemistry*, 103, 71–90, 2011.

Chapin, F. S., Woodwell, G. M., Randerson, J. T., Rastetter, E. B., Lovett, G. M., Baldocchi, D. D., Clark, D. A., Harmon, M. E., Schimel, D. S., Valentini, R., Wirth, C., Aber, J. D., Cole, J. J., Goulden, M. L., Harden, J. W., Heimann, M., Howarth, R. W., Matson, P. A., McGuire, A. D., Melillo, J. M., Mooney, H. A., Neff, J. C., Houghton, R. A., Pace, M. L., Ryan, M. G., Running, S. W., Sala, O. E., Schlesinger, W. H., and Schulze, E. D.: Reconciling carbon-cycle concepts, terminology, and methods, *Ecosystems*, 9, 1041–1050, 2006.

Ciais, P., Borges, A. V., Abril, G., Meybeck, M., Folberth, G., Hauglustaine, D., and Janssens, I. A.: The impact of lateral carbon fluxes on the European carbon balance, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1259–1271, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1259-2008, 2008.

Curtis, P. S., Vogel, C. S., Gough, C. M., Schmid, H. P., Su, H. B., and Bovard, B. D.: Respiratory carbon losses and the carbon-use efficiency of a northern hardwood forest, 1999–2003, *New Phytol.*, 167, 437–455, 2005.

Davidson, E. A., Richardson, A. D., Savage, K. E., and Hollinger, D. Y.: A distinct seasonal pattern of the ratio of soil respiration to total ecosystem respiration in a spruce-dominated forest, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 12, 230–239, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01062.x, 2006.

de Vries, J. J. and Simmers, I.: Groundwater recharge: an overview of processes and challenges, *Hydrogeol. J.*, 10, 5–17, doi:10.1007/s10040-001-0171-7, 2002.

Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans, R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Grunwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N. O., Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C. T., Law, B. E., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, H., Moors, E., Munger, J. W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 107, 43–69, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00225-2, 2001.

Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Tenhunen, J., Aubinet, M., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Clement, R., Davis, K. J., Elbers, J. A., Goldstein, A. H., Grelle, A., Granier, A., Guomundsson, J., Hollinger, D., Kowalski, A. S., Katul, G., Law, B. E., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Monson, R. K., Munger, J. W., Oechel, W., Paw, K. T., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Valentini, R., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Seasonality of ecosystem respiration and gross primary production as derived from FLUXNET measurements, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 113, 53–74, 2002a.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Falge, E., Tenhunen, J., Baldocchi, D., Aubinet, M., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Bon-
 nefond, J. M., Burba, G., Clement, R., Davis, K. J., Elbers, J. A., Falk, M., Goldstein, A. H.,
 Grelle, A., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Gudmundsson, J., Hollinger, D., Janssens, I. A., Kero-
 nen, P., Kowalski, A. S., Katul, G., Law, B. E., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Monson, R. K., Moors, E.,
 5 Munger, J. W., Oechel, W., U, K. T. P., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A.,
 Thorgeirsson, H., Tirone, G., Turnipseed, A., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Phase and ampli-
 tude of ecosystem carbon release and uptake potentials as derived from FLUXNET mea-
 surements, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 113, 75–95, 2002b.

Friedlingstein, P., Houghton, R. A., Marland, G., Hackler, J., Boden, T. A., Conway, T. J.,
 10 Canadell, J. G., Raupach, M. R., Ciais, P., and Le Quere, C.: Update on CO₂ emissions,
Nat. Geosci., 3, 811–812, doi:10.1038/Ngeo1022, 2010.

Gamitzer, U., Moyes, A. B., Bowling, D. R., and Schnyder, H.: Measuring and modelling the
 isotopic composition of soil respiration: insights from a grassland tracer experiment, *Biogeo-
 sciences*, 8, 1333–1350, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1333-2011, 2011.

15 Giasson, M. A., Coursolle, C., and Margolis, H. A.: Ecosystem-level CO₂ fluxes from a boreal
 cutover in eastern Canada before and after scarification, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 140, 23–40,
 2006.

Hastings, S. J., Oechel, W. C., and Muhlia-Melo, A.: Diurnal, seasonal and annual variation in
 the net ecosystem CO₂ exchange of a desert shrub community (*Sarcocaulis*) in Baja
 20 California, Mexico, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 927–939, 2005.

Healy, R. W. and Cook, P. G.: Using groundwater levels to estimate recharge, *Hydrogeol. J.*,
 10, 91–109, doi:10.1007/s10040-001-0178-0, 2002.

Heimann, M. and Reichstein, M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feed-
 backs, *Nature*, 451, 289–292, doi:10.1038/Nature06591, 2008.

25 Houghton, R. A.: Balancing the global carbon budget, *Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc.*, 35, 313–347,
 doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.35.031306.140057, 2007.

Houghton, R. A.: Keeping management effects separate from environmental effects in terrestrial
 carbon accounting, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 19, 2609–2612, doi:10.1111/Gcb.12233, 2013.

Jasoni, R. L., Smith, S. D., and Arnone, J. A.: Net ecosystem CO₂ exchange in Mojave Desert
 30 shrublands during the eighth year of exposure to elevated CO₂, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11,
 749–756, 2005.

Kessler, T. J. and Harvey, C. F.: The global flux of carbon dioxide into groundwater, *Geophys.
 Res. Lett.*, 28, 279–282, doi:10.1029/2000gl011505, 2001.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Kindler, R., Siemens, J., Kaiser, K., Walmsley, D. C., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Cellier, P., Eugster, W., Gleixner, G., and Grunwald, T.: Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net ecosystem carbon balance, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 1167–1185, 2011.
- Lavigne, M. B., Ryan, M. G., Anderson, D. E., Baldocchi, D. D., Crill, P. M., Fitzjarrald, D. R., Goulden, M. L., Gower, S. T., Massheder, J. M., McCaughey, J. H., Rayment, M., and Striegl, R. G.: Comparing nocturnal eddy covariance measurements to estimates of ecosystem respiration made by scaling chamber measurements at six coniferous boreal sites, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 28977–28985, 1997.
- Law, B. E., Falge, E., Gu, L., Baldocchi, D. D., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Davis, K., Dolman, A. J., Falk, M., Fuentes, J. D., Goldstein, A., Granier, A., Grelle, A., Hollinger, D., Janssens, I. A., Jarvis, P., Jensen, N. O., Katul, G., Mahli, Y., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Monson, R., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Olson, R., Pilegaard, K., Paw, K. T., Thorgeirsson, H., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Environmental controls over carbon dioxide and water vapor exchange of terrestrial vegetation, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 113, 97–120, 2002.
- Li, C. H., Li, Y., and Tang, L. S.: Soil organic carbon stock and carbon efflux in deep soils of desert and oasis, *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 60, 549–557, doi:10.1007/s12665-009-0195-1, 2010.
- Lindsay, W. L.: *Chemical Equilibria in Soils*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, New York, 1979.
- Liu, R., Pan, L. P., Jenerette, G. D., Wang, Q. X., Cieraad, E., and Li, Y.: High efficiency in water use and carbon gain in a wet year for a desert halophyte community, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 162, 127–135, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.04.015, 2012.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A.: On the temperature-dependence of soil respiration, *Funct. Ecol.*, 8, 315–323, doi:10.2307/2389824, 1994.
- Luyssaert, S., Inglima, I., Jung, M., Richardson, A., Reichstein, M., Papale, D., Piao, S., Schulze, E. D., Wingate, L., and Matteucci, G.: CO₂ balance of boreal, temperate, and tropical forests derived from a global database, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13, 2509–2537, 2007.
- Ma, J., Zheng, X. J., and Li, Y.: The response of CO₂ flux to rain pulses at a saline desert, *Hydrol. Process.*, 26, 4029–4037, doi:10.1002/Hyp.9204, 2012.
- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B., Zheng, X. -J., and Y., L.: An inorganic CO₂ diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO₂ fluxes in saline/alkaline soils, *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 2025, doi:10.1038/srep02025, 2013.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Monger, H. C. and Gallegos, R. A.: Biotic and abiotic processes and rates of pedogenic carbonate accumulation in the southwestern United States. Relationship to atmospheric CO₂ sequestration, in: *Global Climate Change and Pedogenic Carbonates*, edited by: Lai, R., Kimble, J. M., Eswaran, H., and Stewart, B. A., CRC/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida, 273–290, 2000.

Morgenstern, K., Black, T. A., Humphreys, E. R., Griffis, T. J., Drewitt, G. B., Cai, T. B., Nesic, Z., Spittlehouse, D. L., and Livingstone, N. J.: Sensitivity and uncertainty of the carbon balance of a Pacific Northwest Douglas-fir forest during an El Niño/La Niña cycle, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 123, 201–219, 2004.

Noy-Meir, I.: Desert ecosystems: environment and producers, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 4, 25–51, 1973.

Raich, J. W. and Potter, C. S.: Global patterns of carbon-dioxide emissions from soils, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 9, 23–36, doi:10.1029/94gb02723, 1995.

Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1424–1439, 2005.

Rengasamy, P.: World salinization with emphasis on Australia, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 57, 1017–1023, 2006.

Reynolds, J. F.: Desertification, in: *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*, edited by: Levin, S. A., Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 61–78, 2001.

Rogiers, N., Eugster, W., Furger, M., and Siegwolf, R.: Effect of land management on ecosystem carbon fluxes at a subalpine grassland site in the Swiss Alps, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 80, 187–203, 2005.

Ruimy, A., Jarvis, P. G., Baldocchi, D. D., and Saugier, B.: CO₂ fluxes over plant canopies and solar radiation: a review, *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 26, 1–51, 1995.

Schimel, D. S., House, J. I., Hibbard, K. A., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Braswell, B. H., Apps, M. J., Baker, D., Bondeau, A., Canadell, J., Churkina, G., Cramer, W., Denning, A. S., Field, C. B., Friedlingstein, P., Goodale, C., Heimann, M., Houghton, R. A., Melillo, J. M., Moore, B., Murdiyarso, D., Noble, I., Pacala, S. W., Prentice, I. C., Raupach, M. R.,

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Rayner, P. J., Scholes, R. J., Steffen, W. L., and Wirth, C.: Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems, *Nature*, 414, 169–172, 2001.

Schlesinger, W. H.: The formation of caliche in soils of the Mojave-Desert, California, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 49, 57–66, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(85)90191-7, 1985.

Schlesinger, W. H., Belnap, J., and Marion, G.: On carbon sequestration in desert ecosystems, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 15, 1488–1490, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01763.x, 2009.

Serrano-Ortiz, P., Roland, M., Sanchez-Moral, S., Janssens, I. A., Domingo, F., Godderis, Y., and Kowalski, A. S.: Hidden, abiotic CO₂ flows and gaseous reservoirs in the terrestrial carbon cycle: review and perspectives, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 150, 321–329, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.002, 2010.

Shanhun, F. L., Almond, P. C., Clough, T. J., and Smith, C. M. S.: Abiotic processes dominate CO₂ fluxes in Antarctic soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 53, 99–111, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.027, 2012.

Siegenthaler, U. and Sarmiento, J. L.: Atmospheric carbon-dioxide and the ocean, *Nature*, 365, 119–125, doi:10.1038/365119a0, 1993.

Stone, R.: Ecosystems – have desert researchers discovered a hidden loop in the carbon cycle?, *Science*, 320, 1409–1410, doi:10.1126/science.320.5882.1409, 2008.

Tobias, C. and Bohlke, J. K.: Biological and geochemical controls on diel dissolved inorganic carbon cycling in a low-order agricultural stream: implications for reach scales and beyond, *Chem. Geol.*, 283, 18–30, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2010.12.012, 2011.

Twine, T. E., Kustas, W. P., Norman, J. M., Cook, D. R., Houser, P. R., Meyers, T. P., Prueger, J. H., Starks, P. J., and Wesely, M. L.: Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a grassland, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 103, 279–300, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00123-4, 2000.

Wang, Y. G., Wang, Z. Y., and Li, Y.: Storage/turnover rate of inorganic carbon and its dissolvable part in the profile of saline/alkaline soils, *PLoS One*, 8, e82029, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082029, 2013.

Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Ceulemans, R., Dolman, H., Field, C., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Law, B. E., Kowalski, A., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Monson, R., Oechel, W., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., and Verma, S.: Energy balance closure at FLUXNET sites, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 113, 223–243, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(02)00109-0, 2002.

- Wohlfahrt, G., Fenstermaker, L. F., and Arnone, J. A.: Large annual net ecosystem CO₂ uptake of a Mojave Desert ecosystem, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 1475–1487, 2008.
- Xie, J. X., Li, Y., Zhai, C. X., Li, C. H., and Lan, Z. D.: CO₂ absorption by alkaline soils and its implication to the global carbon cycle, *Environ. Geol.*, 56, 953–961, doi:10.1007/s00254-008-1197-0, 2008.
- 5 Xu, H. and Li, Y.: Water-use strategy of three central Asian desert shrubs and their responses to rain pulse events, *Plant Soil*, 285, 5–17, doi:10.1007/s11104-005-5108-9, 2006.
- Xu, L. K. and Baldocchi, D. D.: Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a Mediterranean annual grassland in California, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 123, 79–96, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.10.004, 2004.
- 10 Zou, T., Li, Y., Xu, H., and Xu, G. Q.: Responses to precipitation treatment for *Haloxylon ammodendron* growing on contrasting textured soils, *Ecol. Res.*, 25, 185–194, doi:10.1007/s11284-009-0642-1, 2010.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

BGD

11, 10419–10450, 2014

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

Table 1. Errors introduced into estimates of annual net ecosystem CO₂ exchange (NEE) (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) from worst-case estimates of the effects of random errors, gap filling, and u^* -filtering and energy-balance analysis for the 10 years of eddy-covariance data.

Year	Error analysis (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)			Energy balance		
	System error	Random error	Total error	Slope*	r^2	n
2002	11	9	20	0.69	0.75	7011
2004	11	6	17	0.62	0.88	6608
2005	5	5	10	0.83	0.70	7972
2006	8	4	12	0.82	0.81	7250
2007	7	5	12	0.75	0.77	7740
2008	5	7	12	0.78	0.73	8015
2009	3	4	7	0.79	0.82	7150
2010	6	5	11	0.66	0.71	5325
2011	5	7	12	0.80	0.73	4752
2012	4	10	14	0.74	0.82	6127
Average	6.5	6.2	12.7	0.74	0.77	7341

Note: slope means $(LE + H)/(R_n - G)$.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)





Figure 1. Section of the soil column in the PVC cylinder in which the leaching experiments were performed to model the groundwater fluctuation process.

A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#) [Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#) [References](#)

[Tables](#) [Figures](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[Back](#) [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.




Figure 2. Carbon fluxes and proposed carbon sequestration at the saline desert site: **(a)** 10 years' net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of carbon; **(b)** 10-year averages of daily NEE, GPP, and R_{eco} ; **(c)** accumulated NEE for the growing and non-growing periods over the 10 years; **(d)** yearly precipitation from 2002 to 2012; **(e)** 10-year average of accumulated NEE for the growing and non-growing periods; the difference between these two periods was proposed as carbon sequestration by the saline desert.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



A downward CO₂ flux seems to have nowhere to go

J. Ma et al.




Figure 4. Seasonal variations in R_{soil} and R_{eco} (a) and the ratio between these two fluxes (b) in 2009. The seasonal variation in $R_{\text{soil}}/R_{\text{eco}}$ was well fitted by a second-order Fourier function, where $R_{\text{soil}}/R_{\text{eco}} = -0.132 - 0.208 \sin(\text{DOY}^* - 0.540) - 0.402 \sin(2 \cdot \text{DOY}^* - 1.087)$, and DOY^* (in radians) = $\text{DOY} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{365}$. $N = 90$, $R^2 = 0.474$.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)





Figure 5. Profile distribution of soil EC and fluctuations in the groundwater table at the saline desert site. Generally, EC decreases with increasing depth, which means that passive leaching of salt is possible with fluctuations in the groundwater table. The “S” shape of the EC was created by rainfall infiltration, which reached no more than 2 m depth, probably from very rare events of heavy rainfall or snowmelt in this cold desert.

