## Virtual Assistance in any Context – A Taxonomy of Design Elements for Domain-Specific Chatbots

Antje Janssen, Jens Passlick, Davinia Rodriguez Cardona, Michael H. Breitner

Business & Information Systems Engineering (2020)

Appendix (available online via <a href="http://link.springer.com">http://link.springer.com</a>)

## Appendix

Perspectives	Iteration 1	→ Iteration 2 —	→ Iteration 3 —	→ Iteration 4 —	→ Iteration 5	→ Iteration 6
Approach	Conceptual-to-empirical	Empirical-to-conceptual	Empirical-to-conceptual	Empirical-to-conceptual	Empirical-to-conceptual	Evaluation
	Degree of Intelligence Intelligence framework Type of AIS Type of expert systems	Intelligence framework	Intelligence framework	Intelligence framework	Intelligence framework	D <sub>1</sub> Intelligence framework
Intelligence	Intelligence Sentiment detection	Intelligence Sentiment detection	Sentiment detection			D <sub>4</sub> Socio-emotional behavior
	Emotional quotient	Sentiment detection	Sentiment detection	Service provider integration	Service provider integration	D <sub>5</sub> Service integration
	Personality processing Platform integration	Personality processing Platform integration	Personality processing	Personality processing	Personality processing	D3 Personality processing
	Intelligence quotient Manager type	Intelligence quotient	Intelligence quotient	Intelligence quotient	Intelligence quotient	D2 Intelligence quotient
	Communication mode	Communication mode	Communication mode	Communication mode	Communication mode	
	Interaction type Multimodality	Multimodality	Multimodality	Multimodality	Multimodality	${\rm D}_6{\rm M}{\rm ultimodality}$
	Number of humans Locus of control	Number of humans Locus of control	Number of participants System achitecture - user experience	Number of participants User assistance design	Number of participants User assistance design	D <sub>10</sub> Number of participants D <sub>9</sub> User assistance design
Interaction	Socio-emotional behavior	Socio-emotional behavior Additional human support	Socio-emotional behavior Additional human support	Socio-emotional behavior Additional human support	Socio-emotional behavior Additional human support	D <sub>11</sub> Additional human support
	Memory CA presentation User interface Interaction classification Response content type	CA presentation User interface Interaction classification	CA presentation User interface Interaction classification	Interface personification Front-enduser interface channel Interaction classification	Interface personification Front-end user interface channel Interaction classification	D <sub>8</sub> Interface personification D <sub>12</sub> Front-end user interface channel D <sub>7</sub> Interaction classification
	Duration of relation	Duration of relation	Duration of relation	Relationship duration	Relationship duration	D14 Relation duration
	Role of conversational agent Knowledge base Context aware assistant	Role of conversational agent Knowledge base	Role of conversational agent Knowledge base	Chatbot role Knowledge base	Chatbot role Knowledge base	D <sub>13</sub> Chatbot role
	Application domains Context	Application domains Context	Application domains Context	Application domains Context	Application domain	D15 Application domain
Context	Collaboration goal Sequentiality of process structure	Collaboration goal	Collaboration goal	Collaboration goal	Collaboration goal	D <sub>16</sub> Collaboration goal
	Language Number of conversational agents Motivation for chatbot use Networking technology	Motivation for chatbot use	Motivation for chatbot use	Motivation for chatbot use	Motivation for chatbot use	D <sub>17</sub> Motivation for chatbot use
	Technology Type of conversational interfaces	Type of conversational interfaces	Type of conversational interfaces			
Sum	36	23	21	20	19	17

Fig. A.1 Dimensions development for the chatbot taxonomy

	Armento et al. (2006)	Bittner et al. (2019)	Brandtzaeg & Følstad (2017)	Chen et al. (2017)	Den Boer (2017)	Di Prospero et al. (2017)	Diederich et al. 2019)	Edwards et al. (1988)	Ehrenbrink et al. (2016)	Følstad et al. (2018)	Gnewuch et al. (2017)	Knote et al. (2018)	Knote et al. 2019	Maedche et al. (2016)	McTear (2016)	Mittal et al. (2016)	Montenegro et al. (2019)	Ochs et al. (2017)	Pfeuffer et al. (2019)	Sarikaya (2017)	Schuetzler et al. (2019)	Shum et al. (2018)	Sreedevi et al. (2017)	Strohmann et al. (2019)	Thorne (2017)	Wei et al. (2018)	Yalçın et al. (2019) Zumstein & Hundertmark (2017)
Dimension Application domains												•															
CA presentation		•											•					•				•					•
Collaboration goal		•																									
Communication mode		•				•	•				•		•		•		•			•							
Context							•				•																
Context aware assistant	•													•										•			
Degree of intelligence								•						•													
Dialog manager type																									•		
Duration of relation				•						•																•	
Emotional quotient																										•	
Intelligence framework							•					•											•			•	
Intelligence quotient																										•	
Interaction classification					•								•														
Interaction type						•											•										
Knowledge base																											•
Memory																										•	
Motivation for chatbot use	е		•																								
Multimodality												•					•										
Number of participants		•											•			•											
Personality processing	•					•							•														
Platform integration							•																				
Response content type					•																						
Role of conversational agent		•															•										
Sentiment detection							•		•												•			•			
Sequentiality of process structure		•																									
Socio-emotional behavior		•																	•		•	•		•			•
System achitecture										•										•				•			
Type of artificial intelligence system Type of conversational interfaces								•							•								•				
Type of expert systems																							•				
User interface						•																					
	•																										

Table A.2 Definitions and	underlying conceptual ba	ases of the taxonomy characteristics

Dimension D <sub>i</sub> /Characteristics	Definition
$C_{i,j}$	
D1 Intelligence framework	
C <sub>1,1</sub> Rule-based system	A simple reflex conversational agent (CA) whose capacity to proccess the user utterances is based on the application of "[] a set of 'if-then rules' to determine suitable actions for the detected situation" (Knote et al. 2018 p. 1087). In a general sense, a CA with this underlying cognitive system design primarily detects the situation implied in the user utterance through a domain-specific keyword search, and subsequently, retrives the most suitable predefined response or default actions from a knowledge base (Chen et al. 2017). Rule-based CA are recognizable by the fact that they react exclusively to defined input options from which the user can choose. These are either selection options that the user types into the
	text field.
C <sub>1,2</sub> Utility-based system C <sub>1,3</sub> Model-based system	A CA whose capacity to proccess the input from the user is based on a retrival- based response model that enables the CA to "[] differentia[te] measures on how desirable a goal state is" (Knote et al. 2018 p. 1087), in proportion to the user preferences (utility function) defined through value constrains in the form of, e.g., "inform slots" or "ranking criteria". Characteristilly, a CA with this underlying cognitive system design provides as output, utility-based suggestions (e.g. action or item recommendations) within the value constrains provided by the user (Chen et al. 2017; Zheng 2019). Utility-based CA are detected by the characteristic that they ask targeted questions, whose answers the chatbot uses to perform an action. For example, a chatbot in e-commerce asks the user for color and clothing preferences and then suggests a suitable garment. A CA whose capacity to proccess the input from the user is based on an internal model of environmental conditions that enables the CA to "[] [explain] and
	model of environmental conditions that enables the CA to "[] [explain] and [predict] 'how the world works'. Although this allows the agent for rudimental self-reflection, [a model-based CA] chooses an action in the same way as a simple reflex agent." (Knote et al. 2018 p. 1087)
C <sub>1,4</sub> Goal-based system	A CA whose capacity to process the user utterances is based on a end-to-end model that enables the CA to choose an action to achieve an specific goal state once that the goal-dependent parameters set by the user had been reached (Chen et al. 2017; Knote et al. 2018). A CA with this underlying cognitive system design can be based on memory networks or a neural architecture to allow the user to track the goal achievement by retrieving information about specific values related to the goal (Sukhbaatar et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016). Goal-based CA can be identified by the fact that they recognize from the textual input the users' intention and help the user to perform a task within a delimited application area. For example, a goal-based airline chatbot recognizes within the dialogue whether the user, e.g., wants travel advice or to cancel a flight and helps to achieve this goal.

Dimension D <sub>i</sub> /Characteristics	Definition
Cij	
C <sub>1,5</sub> Self-learning system	A CA whose capacity to process the input from the user improves through the incorporation of learning outcomes from previous interactions. Commonly, a CA shows signs of self-learning if it aks the user monitoring questions (e.g. yes-no questions) regarding the quality, correctness or usefulness of the provided response to optimize future responses (Chen et al. 2017). The cognitional design system of this type of CA integrates "a separate learning element which is responsible for behavior adaptation, while the performance element chooses which actions to take" (Knote et al. 2018 p. 1088). Self-learning chatbots can be recognized by the attribute that they continually improve by learning from the information gathered within a dialogue.
<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub> Intelligence quotient	
C <sub>2,1</sub> Only rule-based knowledge	The chatbot has the capability to operate only on the basis of a set of 'if-then rules' (Knote et al. 2018 p. 1087). This is shown by the circumstance that rule- based chatbots only react to specific commands and provide a possible response spectrum. These predefined response options, e.g. "yes" or "no", are then either to be entered by the user or clicked on.
C <sub>2,2</sub> Text understanding	The chatbot has the capability to rationalize textual input using semantics natural language processing and has sufficient domain-specific linguistic knowledge to provide suitable responses (Nuruzzaman and Hussain 2018). This is shown by the possibility for the user to interact with the chatbot via a free text field without any specifications. Sentences can be formed by the user, from which the chatbot extracts the necessary information and processes it.
C <sub>2,3</sub> Text understanding and further abilities	The chatbot not only has the capability to understand textual input, but also incorporates additional abilities such as inference, math calculation, photo recognition and interpretation, etc. In this context, chatbots can, e.g., read, interpret and evaluate photos uploaded within the dialogue.
D <sub>3</sub> Personality processing	
C <sub>3,1</sub> Principal self	The "response [of the chatbot] adheres to a set of standards based on the agent's role and responsibilities" (Di Prospero et al. 2017 p. 77).
C <sub>3,2</sub> Adaptive self	The chatbot has the "capacity to recognize the personality of the end-users and adapting" (Di Prospero et al. 2017 p. 77) to the language, choice of words, mood and gender of the counterpart (Yalçın 2019). The real-time identification of personality traits (e.g. extroversion: extroverted or introverted and agreeableness: submissive or dominant) are identified by using interactive genetic algorithms and personality models, e.g., Big Five personality traits model or Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (Lee et al. 2012; Yorita et al. 2019).
D4 Social-emotional behavior	

C<sub>4,1</sub> Not present

The chatbot does not possess the capacity to show affection or empathy towards the individual needs and immediate feedback of the user (Bittner et al. 2019).

Dimension D <sub>i</sub> /Characteristics	Definition
C <sub>i,j</sub>	
C <sub>4,2</sub> Present	The chatbot has the resonance capacity to show affection or empathy towards
	the individual needs and immediate feedback of the user (Bittner et al. 2019).
	The chatbot captures the feelings resonating in the dialogue and is emotionally
	transmitted from the user's point of view by reacting empathically to the specific
	feelings (Hu et al. 2018).
<b>D</b> <sub>5</sub> Service integration	
C <sub>5,1</sub> None	The chatbot does not integrate further services. When interacting with the
	chatbot, no further functionality is provided except communication in defined
	structures.
C <sub>5,2</sub> Single integration	The chatbot can integrate one service. A further function besides communication
	is offered. For example, information can be queried from a database or an image
	recognition can be performed.
C <sub>5,3</sub> Multiple integration	The chatbot can integrate two or more services. The definition is similar to $C_{5,2}$
C <sub>5,3</sub> whilippe integration	except that several services are offered in one chatbot.
	except that several services are offered in one chatoot.
D <sub>6</sub> Multimodality	
C <sub>6,1</sub> Unidirectional	The chatbot has the capacity to receive input through different communication
	channels (e.g. text and voice input) but it can only respond through one
	communication channel (e.g. only text or voice output) (Knote et al. 2018).
C <sub>6,2</sub> Bidirectional	The chatbot has the capacity to receive input through different communication
	channels and it is able to respond through a combination of multiple
	communication channels (Knote et al. 2018).
D7 Interaction classification	
C <sub>7,1</sub> Graphical	A chatbot interacting with the user only through graphical elements (e.g.
C7,1 Oraphicar	predefined buttons for selection). As soon as the chatbot offers a free input text
	alternative, the interface is no longer considered as graphical (den Boer 2017).
	anemative, the interface is no longer considered as graphical (den Boer 2017).
C <sub>7,2</sub> Interactive	A chatbot interacting with the user both through graphical elements (e.g.
	predefined buttons for selection) and plain text input (den Boer 2017).
D <sub>8</sub> Interface personification	
C <sub>8,1</sub> Disembodied	The chatbot does not incorporate visual or physical anthropomorphic or
	personification features in the form of static, animated or reactive avatars
	(Bittner et al. 2019).
C <sub>8,2</sub> Embodied	The chatbot incorporates visual or physical anthropomorphic or personification
	features in the form of static, animated or reactive avatars (Bittner et al. 2019).
Do User assistance design	
D <sub>9</sub> User assistance design	The shother does not have the conshility to anticipate or actively ask context

C <sub>9,1</sub> Reactive assistance	The chatbot does not have the capability to anticipate or actively ask context-
	relevant questions to the user by "[] mak[ing] use of inference, user modeling,
	and ranking to power experiences" (Sarikaya 2017 p. 70).
C <sub>9,2</sub> Proactive assistance	Proactive assistance implicates the capability of the chatbot to anticipate or
	actively ask context-relevant questions to the user by "[] mak[ing] use of

Dimension D <sub>i</sub> /Characteristics C <sub>i,j</sub>	Definition
	inference, user modeling, and ranking to power experiences" (Sarikaya 2017 p.
	70).
D <sub>10</sub> Number of participants	
C10,1 Individual human	The chatbot engages with only one individual human participant per interaction,
participant	as it does not have the capacity to simultaneously collaborate with two or more
	human participants (Bittner et al. 2019).
C10,2 Two or more human	The chatbot has the capacity to simultaneously collaborate with two or more
participants	human participants in a team-focused environment (Bittner et al. 2019).
D11 Additional human	
support	
C <sub>11,1</sub> No	The chatbot does not provide the user with the possibility to contact a human
	agent in case of open questions.
C <sub>11,2</sub> Yes	The chatbot offers the possibility to contact a human agent in case of open
	questions (Zumstein and Hundertmark 2017).
D <sub>12</sub> Front-end user interface cha	annel
C <sub>12,1</sub> App	The chatbot is integrated into mobile applications.
C12,2 Collaboration and	The chatbot is integrated into a communication platform such as Microsoft
communication tools	Teams.
C12,3 Social media	The chatbot is integrated into social media platforms such as Facebook,
	Instagram, etc.
C <sub>12,4</sub> Website	The chatbot is integrated into a Website.
C <sub>12,5</sub> Multiple	The chatbot is integrated into a combination of multiple platforms.
D <sub>13</sub> Chatbot role	
C <sub>13,1</sub> Facilitator	The chatbot "[] guide[s] users to reach a certain goal or execute a task."
	(Bittner et al. 2019 p. 287)
C <sub>13,2</sub> Peer	The chatbot "[] aim to merge into a human group or become a sparring partner
	for an individual" (Bittner et al. 2019 p. 287).
C <sub>13,3</sub> Expert	The chatbot "[] ha[s] certain skills or fields of expertise that differ from those
	of their human teammates [] Expert CA react to, e.g., user's query, specific
	key words or a defined action with a single query like in a FAQ database."
	(Bittner et al. 2019 p. 287)
D <sub>14</sub> Relation duration	
C14,1 Short-term relation	The chatbot does not have the capability to remember information from previous
	conversations to influence future interactions (Wei et al. 2018).
C14,2 Long-term relation	The chatbot has the capability to remember information from previous
	conversations with the intention of influencing future interactions (Wei et al.
	2018).
D <sub>15</sub> Application domain	
C <sub>15,1</sub> E-customer service	The chatbot has been designed for supporting the online customer experience.
C <sub>15,2</sub> Daily life	The chatbot has been designed for supporting day-to-day activities.
C <sub>15,3</sub> E-commerce	The chatbot has been designed for supporting the online commercialization of
	products and/or services.
C <sub>15,4</sub> E-learning	The chatbot has been designed for supporting online learning processes.

Dimension D <sub>i</sub> /Characteristics	Definition
$C_{i,j}$	
C <sub>15,5</sub> Finance	The chatbot has been designed for supporting financial transactions.
C15,6 Work and career	The chatbot has been designed for supporting professional life activities.
D <sub>16</sub> Collaboration goal	
C16,1 Non goal-oriented	The aim of the chatbot is not to support the user to accomplish a common goal
	or task but to enable the interaction with the user through question-answer
	dialogues (Bittner et al. 2019).
C16,2 Goal-oriented	The chatbot helps and collaborates with the user to accomplish a common goal
	or task (Bittner et al. 2019).
D <sub>17</sub> Motivation for chatbot use	
C <sub>17,1</sub> Productivity	The primary extrinsic motivation for chatbot use is embodied in the potential to
	improve the efficiency in the use of personal resources (e.g. time, money, etc.)
C <sub>17,2</sub> Entertainment	The primary extrinsic motivation for chatbot use is embodied in the potential to
	enhance the leisure time or to obtain, e.g., a distraction or relaxation.
C17,3 Social/relational	The primary extrinsic motivation for chatbot use is embodied in the expectation
	to achieve social or interpersonal relationship gains such as peer recognition, etc.
C17,4 Utility	The primary extrinsic motivation for chatbot use is linked to a practical use that
	presents the possibility to attain, e.g., quick or detailed knowledge or a direct or
	indirect financial reward.

Table A.3 Company sample with name	e of the chatbot, w	vebsite, and source
------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Chatbot	Website	Source
iRelo	https://www.facebook.com/messages/t/AiReloMe	chatbots.org
lizia Deixis	http://www.deixilabs.com/alizia.html	chatbots.org
llerhande Chatbot	https://www.messenger.com/t/205510929509436	chatbots.org
ltai	http://www.dulynx.fr/emergence/	chatbots.org
manda	https://www.anglianwater.co.uk/	chatbots.org
.na Copa Airlines	http://copaair.intelliresponse.com/index.jsp	chatbots.org
andrew HSBC	https://www.business.hsbc.uk/en-gb	chatbots.org
nnemiek	http://www.spoorwegpensioenfonds.nl/	chatbots.org
riane	https://www.cdc.retraites.fr/portail/spip.php?page=rubrique&id_rubrique=121	chatbots.org
sistente Virtual Fast ood Burger King	http://www.burgerking.com.ar/atencion-al-cliente	chatbots.org
sk Me	https://www.online.citibank.co.in/customerservice/home.htm	chatbots.org
ufsperrBot Wien	https://www.facebook.com/AufsperrBot/	chatbots.org
.VI	http://marketplace.smart-home.com.co/avi.aspx?projectId=sJ3h 6x1cbgNtNB4t43cKgGXaxM5UOcYsaLx-xTYfItqr7uIQcAOpD0Ut09E2GT	chatbots.org
XA Chatbot	https://de-de.facebook.com/AXA.de/	chatbots.org
ahai_QnA	http://peninsulabahai.us/chatbot/	chatbots.org
-bot TV	https://www.messenger.com/t/bbottv	chatbots.org
setty	http://www.myfemcare.com.sg	chatbots.org
itcoin Buddy	https://www.facebook.com/messages/t/btcadviser	chatbots.org
itcoin Price Anayltics ot	https://t.me/BitcoinPriceAnalyticsBot	chatbots.org
O.T	https://m.me/medicenBOT	chatbots.org
rain Bot	http://www.botlibre.com/BrowseServlet?browse=Brain+Bot	chatbots.org
RiN	https://brin.ai/	chatbots.org
ussense	http://m.me/bussense.org	chatbots.org
ar Dealer Chatbot	https://www.chatbots.systems/?utm_source=botlist	botlist most popular
écile	https://www.pole-emploi.fr/employeur/assistance-technique- @/article.jspz?id=68914	chatbots.org
hatBot.RO	https://www.messenger.com/t/chatbot.ROU	chatbots.org
lara	https://www.otto.de/shoppages/service/faq	chatbots.org
larizen Bot	https://www.clarizen.com/bot-slack/	chatbots.org
'NN	https://www.messenger.com/t/cnn	chatbots.org
coin Kolumbus	https://telegram.me/CoinKolumbusBot	chatbots.org
ombot	https://combot.org/	botlist most popular
ruiseBe	https://www.messenger.com/t/cruisebecom	chatbots.org
Dinner Ideas	https://www.facebook.com/DinnerIdeasBot/	chatbots.org
Prop Shipping	http://m.me/b2b.spycob	chatbots.org
propshipping Assistant	https://www.facebook.com/DropshippingAssistant/?utm_source=botlist	botlist most popular
lse	http://www.cjgkampen.nl/else	chatbots.org
rwin	http://m.me/erwin.chat	chatbots.org
Shangazi	https://m.me/eshangazibot	chatbots.org
lower Checker	https://www.flowerchecker.com/	chatbots.org
reshr	https://m.me/hellofreshr?ref=listing_bot	chatbots.org
ardy	https://www.messenger.com/t/insightbot	chatbots.org
ero	https://www.messenger.com/t/hellogero	chatbots.org

Chatbot	Website	Source
Haptik Assistant (Finance)	https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=co.haptik	chatbots.org
Hazie	https://www.facebook.com/HazieBot/	chatbots.org
Helios	https://www.helioslife.enterprises/	botlist most- popular
HillYEAH! Bot	http://www.facebook.com/hillyeah2016	chatbots.org
Horoscoop	https://www.messenger.com/t/metrohoroscoop	chatbots.org
IFRS Rookies	https://www.facebook.com/ifrsrookies/	chatbots.org
InviteMember	https://invitemember.com/	botlist most- popular
James	http://playground.pandorabots.com	chatbots.org
Jaquelina	http://www.spov.nl/	chatbots.org
Jim	http://www.saferwholesale.com/Default.asp	chatbots.org
Joe	http://www.plus.net/help/	chatbots.org
Julia	https://www.kabeldeutschland.de/csc	chatbots.org
Julie (Social)	http://www.botlibre.com/browse?id=667676	chatbots.org
Julie (Travel)	https://www.amtrak.com/about-julie-amtrak-virtual-travel-assistant	chatbots.org
Kim	https://www.nspower.ca/en/home/default.aspx	chatbots.org
Komms.io	http://www.komms.io/index.html	botlist most- popular
Kurna the Klingon	https://mybot.be/chat/-KcnG99buxsyZVPg3ZCS	chatbots.org
Leadza	https://www.facebook.com/leadza/videos/vb.1406517502944972/1971309479 799102/?type=2&theater	botlist most- popular
Marina	https://www.facebook.com/100-Regali-per-Lei-1032628263531363/	chatbots.org
Medicare And Social Security Answer	https://www.retirety.com/	chatbots.org
Mega Deals	https://btcdirect.eu/nl-nl	botlist most- popular
mensabot	https://kikmensabot.herokuapp.com/chat	chatbots.org
Millie	https://new.myhermes.co.uk/before-you-get-in-touch.html	chatbots.org
Minty Talk	https://www.facebook.com/mintytoons	chatbots.org
MonCompteFormation	http://www.moncompteformation.gouv.fr	chatbots.org
Name Guru	http://www.babynames.ch/Guru	chatbots.org
National Geographic Trivia Bot	https://chatbotslife.com/how-national-geographic-engaged-its-facebook- audience-with-a-trivia-chatbot-bot-marketing- 189507d6c380?gi=ac2df2bf9b71	botlist most- popular
Neomy	http://m.me/yourneomy	chatbots.org
Pathology Lab Chatbot	https://www.lalpathlabs.com/	chatbots.org
Poncho	https://www.chatbots.org/chatbot/poncho/	chatbots.org
Rammas Virtual Agent	https://www.dewa.gov.ae/en/rammas?utm_source=botlist	botlist most- popular
Rembo	https://haptik.ai/rembo?ref=direct&_branch_match_id=673898596276084244	chatbots.org
Rewardy	https://m.me/rewardy.co?ref=landing	chatbots.org
Simbibot	https://simbibot.com/	chatbots.org
Singapore Weather Bot	https://www.facebook.com/messages/t/wbotbyrth	chatbots.org
SkinvisionBot	https://www.facebook.com/skinabot/	chatbots.org
Smarty	https://apps.apple.com/us/app/smarty-answers-to-all-questions/id1211970601	chatbots.org
Soa Seks Check	https://soasekscheck.nl	chatbots.org
Soccer.bot	https://bots.kik.com/#/soccer.bot	chatbots.org
Sofía (Finance)	http://microlending.com.ar/contacto	chatbots.org
Sofia (Travel)	http://www.flytap.com/Portugal/en/Homepage	chatbots.org
Sophie	http://www.congstar.de/hilfe- service/?zxid=1579825254208189440&zuserid=134386	chatbots.org

Chatbot	Website	Source
Stina (stena Line)	https://itunes.apple.com/gb/app/travelmate-stena-line/id503869301?mt=8	chatbots.org
TalkToTheWord	https://www.talktotheword.com/?utm_source=botlist	botlist most- popular
T-Bot	http://www.infotbc.com/	chatbots.org
Terry Tablet	https://help89.creativevirtual.com/gsol/bot.htm?isJSEnabled=1	chatbots.org
The Best Constructor	https://www.facebook.com/chatfuel/?fref=ts	chatbots.org
The Durian Chatbot	http://thedurianchatbot.strikingly.com/	chatbots.org
Toni, the Football Chatbot	https://toni.football/	chatbots.org
uInterview	https://m.me/Uinterview	chatbots.org
Vet Fit Health Coach	https://www.facebook.com/vetfitnl/	chatbots.org
Virtuele Assistent	https://www.centraalbeheer.nl/contact/paginas/contactpagina.aspx	chatbots.org
Virtuele medewerker	https://www.ditzo.nl/service	chatbots.org
Virtueller Bürger- Service-Assistent	https://service.berlin.de/virtueller-assistent/virtueller-assistent-606279.php	chatbots.org
Vouchee	https://www.messenger.com/t/1494754200567989	chatbots.org
Weps	http://www.getweps.com/chatbot	chatbots.org
Widdy	https://www.widiba.it/banca/online/it/home	chatbots.org
Woobot.io	https://woobot.io/	botlist most- popular
Yar	https://www.yourhosting.nl/support/contact/	chatbots.org
Yoko	http://www.toshiba.fr/innovation/generic/SUPPORT_PORTAL/	chatbots.org
Ziman	https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.mociz.zimanpro&hl=en	chatbots.org

Table A.4 Recommended number of clusters of 103 domain-specific chatbots surveyed

Measure by	Recommended amount of clusters (kMeans)
Ball and Hall (1965)	3
Caliński and Harabasz (1974)	2
Davies and Bouldin (1979)	7
Dunn (1974)	7
Frey and Van Groenewoud (1972)	NA
Halkidi et al. (2000)	6
Hartigan (1975)	4
Hubert and Levin (1976)	6
Krzanowski and Lai (1988)	4
McClain and Rao (1975)	2
Milligan (1980, 1981)	8
Rousseeuw (1987)	2
Tibshirani et al. (2001)	2

Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3	Iteration 4	Iteration 5	Evaluation phase	
Conceptual	Empirical	Empirical	Empirical	Empirical	Focus groups	Subjective ending conditions (Nickerson et al. 2013)
	•	•	•	•	•	Mutually exclusive: no object has two different characteristics in a dimension
	•	•	•	•	•	Collectively exhaustive: each chatbot has at least one characteristic in each dimension
					•	Concise: dimensions and characteristics are limited
٠	•	•	•	•	•	Robust: sufficient number of dimensions and characteristics
				•	•	Comprehensive: identification of all (relevant) dimensions of an object
•	•	•	•	•	•	Extendable: possibility to easily add dimensions and characteristics in the future
					•	Explanatory: dimensions and characteristics sufficiently explain the object
						Objective ending conditions (Nickerson et al. 2013)
	• (12)	• (78)	• (91)	• (all)	•	All chatbots (or a representative sample) were analyzed
				•	•	No object was merged or split
		•	•	•	•	At least one object assigned to each characteristic
				•	•	No new dimensions or characteristics were added
				•	•	No dimensions or characteristics were merged or split
		•	•	•	•	Every dimension is unique
			•	•	•	Every characteristic within the dimension is unique
			•	•	•	Every combination of characteristics is unique

## Table A.5 Compliance with the adopted ending conditions

## References

Ball, GH, Hall DJ (1965) Isodata: a novel method of data analysis and pattern classification. Menlo Park: Stanford Research Institute

Caliński T, Harabasz J (1974) A dendrite method for cluster analysis. Commun Stat 3(1):1-27

Chen H, Liu X, Yin D, Tang J (2017) A survey on dialogue systems:r advances and new frontiers. ACM SIGKDD Explor Newsl 19(2):25–35

Davies DL, Bouldin DW (1979) A cluster separation measure. IEEE Transact Pattern Anal Mach Intell 1(2):224–227

Dunn J (1974) Well separated clusters and optimal fuzzy partitions. J Cybern 4(1):95-104

Edwards JL, Mason JA (1988) Evaluating the intelligence in dialogue systems. Int J Man-Mach Stud 28(2-3):139–173

Ehrenbrink P, Hillmann S, Weiss B, Möller S (2016) Psychological reactance in HCI: a method towards improving acceptance of devices and services. ACM Press, pp 478–482

Frey T, Van Groenewoud H (1972) A cluster analysis of the D-squared matrix of white spruce stands in Saskatchewan based on the maximum-minimum principle. J Ecol 60(3):873–886

Halkidi M, Vazirgiannis M, Batistakis I (2000) Quality scheme assessment in the clustering process. In: Proceedings of the 4th PKDD Conference, Lyon, pp 265–276

Hartigan JA (1975) Clustering algorithms. Wiley, New York

Hubert LJ, Levin JR (1976) A general statistical framework for assessing categorical clustering in free recall. Psychol Bull 83(6):1072–1080

Knote R, Janson A, Söllner M, Leimeister JM (2019) Classifying smart personal assistants: An empirical cluster analysis. In: 52nd HICSS proceedings, Maui, pp 2024–2033

Krzanowski WJ, Lai YT (1988) A criterion for determining the number of groups in a data set using sumof-squares clustering. Biom 44(1):23–34

Lee KH, Choi Y, Stonier DJ (2012) Evolutionary algorithm for a genetic robot's personality based on the Myers\_Briggs Type Indicator. Robot Auton Syst 60(7):941–961

Li X, Lipton ZC, Dhingra B, Li L, Gao J, Chen YN (2016) A user simulator for task-completion dialogues. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05688, pp 1–14

McClain JO, Rao VR (1975) Clustisz: a program to test for the quality of clustering of a set of objects. J Market Res 12(4):456–460

Milligan GW (1980) An examination of the effect of six types of error perturbation on fifteen clustering algorithms. Psychometrika 45(3):325–342

Milligan GW (1981) A Monte Carlo study of thirty internal criterion measures for cluster analysis. Psychometrika 46(2):187–199

Montenegro JLZ, da Costa CA, da Rosa Righi R (2019) Survey of conversational agents in health. Exp Syst Appl 129:56–67

Ochs M Pelachaud C, Mckeown G (2017) A user perception-based approach to create smiling embodied conversational agents. ACM Transact Interact Intell Syst 7(1):A1–A33

Pfeuffer N, Benlian A, Gimpel H, Hinz O (2019) Anthropomorphic information systems. Bus Inf Syst Eng 61(4):1–11

Piccolo LS, Mensio M, Alani H (2018) Chasing the chatbots. In: Int Conference on Internet Science proceedings, pp 157–169

Rousseeuw P (1987) Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. J Comput Appl Math 20:53–65 Schuetzler RM, Grimes GM, Giboney JS (2019) The effect of conversational agent skill on user behavior during deception. Comput Hum Behav 97:250–259

Shum HY, He XD, Li D (2018) From Eliza to XiaoIce: challenges and opportunities with social chatbots. Front Inf Technol Electron Eng 19(1):10–26

Strohmann T, Siemon D, Robra-Bissantz S (2019) Designing virtual in-vehicle assistants: design guidelines for creating a convincing user experience. AIS Transact Hum-Comput Interact 11(2):54–78

Sukhbaatar S, Weston, J, Fergus R (2015) End-to-end memory networks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Montreal, pp 2440–2448

Tibshirani R, Walther G, Hastie T (2001) Estimating the number of clusters in a data set via the gap statistic. J Royal Stat Soc B 63(2):411–423

Thorne C (2017) Chatbots for troubleshooting: a survey. Lang Linguist Compass 11(10):1-14

Yalçın ÖN (2019) Empathy framework for embodied conversational agents. Cognit Syst Res 59:123-132

Zheng Y (2019) Utility-based multi-criteria recommender systems. In: Proceedings of the 34th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing, Limassol, pp 2529–2531