Item	Risk		Likelihood	Consequence	Rating
1	Risk:	Thermal process model does not predict temperature transients accurately.	3 (> 30%, Moderate)	3 (Moderate)	Y
	Impact:	Degrades all downstream analyses.	\mathbf{v}		Ŷ
	Mitigation:	Add measurements to improve heat transfer predictions.	2	3	G
2	Risk:	Stress relief predictions do not match measured forging data after heat treatment	2 (>5%, Low)	3 (Moderate)	G
	Impact:	Some effect on residual stress magnitude predictions.			
	Mitigation:	None required: monitor.			
3	Risk:	Range of microstructure and grain size predictions exceeds desired control limits	3 (> 30%, Moderate)	2 (Some impact)	Y
	Impact:	May affect life prediction with residual stresses	Ŷ		Ŷ
	Mitigation:	Extend control limits; monitor production.	2 (>5%, Low)	2 (Some impact)	G
4	Risk:	Machining distortion requires cold-straightening and stress relief to meet final envelop	2 (>5%, Low)	3 (Moderate)	G
	Impact:	Extra process & modeling step, but predictable			
	Mitigation:	None required: monitor.			
5	Risk:	Uncertainty quantification indicates RS values have insufficent fidelity for life prediction	4 (>50%, More likely than not)	4 (Significant impact)	R
	Impact:	Cannot include residual stress predictions directly in life predictions.	Ŷ	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	Ŷ
	Mitigation:	Consider alternate process or use bounded rather than explicit values.	3 (>30%, Moderate)	3 (Moderate)	Y